

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_85906
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of overnutrition using Body Mass Index in comparison with Bioelectrical Impedance Analysis among children and adolescents in Benin, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original, epidemiological study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: BIA is not a method that can be used easily anywhere and by any professional, so it is necessary to explain the importance of using BIA to the detriment of BMI. What is the advantage of using BIA? The first paragraphs that present epidemiological aspects are very loose, it is necessary to give a direction and a closure for this information. Are we not had epidemiological studies using BIA? It is important to highlight this in the text. All acronyms must be displayed in the text before they are used, for example: Local Government Area (LGA).</p> <p>Method: It is not necessary to present the sample calculation formula, only to describe how it was performed. Describe how children/adolescents were approached. Was the research conducted at school? Who collected the data? It is suggested the use of the international guide for data collection in epidemiological studies (STROBE)</p> <p>Results: To review the pertinence of including ethnicity and religion in Table 1, since these characteristics were not discussed at any time in the text. Review the relevance of table II and III. What is the purpose of comparing BMI media with such a large age range (children 6 to 18 years)? In table V the data about school type is in the incorrect row. In tavela VI, for the data to be comparable, it is suggested that children be divided by age group 6-11 and 12-18 years.</p> <p>Discussion: it is not necessary to repeat the results, just discuss them.</p> <p>References: 70% are over 5 years old.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Claudia Nery Teixeira Palombo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Federal da Bahia, Brasil</b>