

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_82537
Title of the Manuscript:	Multifunctional bioactive peptides from germinated soy (Glycin max) and voandzou (Vigna subterranean) beans: in vitro anti-diabetic potential through α-amylase α-glucosidase inhibition, and antioxidant ability by DPPH reducing
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnfs.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - English language must be revised throughout the document. It is repetitive in ideas and sometimes it is hard to read and understand. I consider English language must be revised by a professional. - The methodology seems incomplete, considering that methodology statements should be performed by anyone and anytime. I have made comments along the paper - The authors assert some ideas that need to be demonstrated (for example, they did not conduct an aminogram analysis and conclude that certain amino acids have the activities of interest, which cannot be asserted because the composition of proteins is unknown). - There are a lot of comments along the text that must be revised. I insist, the English language must be strongly revised. It is really difficult to understand ideas some times 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - - Sometimes authors write "soy" and other times "soja". This term must be unified - Sometimes author sued "type 2 diabetes mellitus", and other times T2DM. 	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ligia Luz Corrales-Garcia
Department, University & Country	University of Antioquia, Colombia