

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_76571
Title of the Manuscript:	Virgin Olive Oil and its Use in Croatian Gastronomic Offer
Type of the Article	will be determined after authors' corrections

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a very interesting paper in which authors try to summarize the basic information on virgin olive oil and to present their own research results and conclusions regarding its use in Croatian gastronomic offer. However, it needs major revisions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The section ABSTRACT needs to be rewritten according to the comments attached and after the changes in other sections of the paper are done. Could you, please, provide stated? 2. The aim and the scope of the paper should be clearly added in the introduction. Could you, please, provide stated? 3. The text font in this paper will be acceptable after you correct it according to the "Author Guidelines". Could you, please, provide corrections? 3. References made to other work in this paper will be acceptable after you correct errors according to the "Author Guidelines". <p>Literature references in many places in text are missing. Some references listed in the section REFERENCES are not mentioned in the text. Detected errors are highlighted in the document comments (attached). Could you, please, correct errors?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4. Please, format the tables according the sample table format given in "Author Guidelines" 5. Some of the results are not clearly presented. Please find comments attached. Could you, please, provide clarifications? 6. The section CONCLUSION needs to be rewritten according to the comments attached and after the changes in other sections of the paper are done. Could you, please, provide stated? 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language, grammar and syntax will be acceptable after you correct errors. Detected errors are highlighted in the document comments (attached). Please, could you correct the grammar and syntax errors? 	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Emilija Friganović</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Marko Marulić Polytechnic of Knin, Croatia</i>