

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ EJNFS_ 70452
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Finger Millet Herbi Dumpling for Proximate composition, Minerals and Micronutrient Content
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: Re-write abstract to consist of short summary of introduction/title of work, methods of processing the product, analysis carried out, statistical tool(s) used for data analysis, result obtained and inference drawn in one paragraph.</p> <p>Materials and methods: Procedures carried out in the production of herbi dumpling should be technically written for proper understanding, citing the author(s) whose method(s) was(were) adopted with or without modification.</p> <p>Results and Discussion: The results obtained were not discussed. No inference was made from literature; only one author was cited but not referenced. Serious attention is needed here.</p> <p>References: No particular order was followed in the referencing. Some authors were cited but not referenced, while some authors were referenced but not cited as I indicated.</p> <p>Conclusion: Since sensory evaluation was not conducted and no control sample, there is no bases for comparison as to use the words "most acceptable" in the conclusion. Hence, conclusion should be re-written based on the finding.</p> <p>Any product processed as food for human consumption should have control sample and be subjected to sensory evaluation. If it is a new product, then animal studies must be conducted.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Title: The author may consider using this title: Evaluation of proximate composition, minerals and micronutrient contents of finger millet herbi dumpling"</p> <p>There are many grammatical errors that should be corrected, some were pointed out in the review.</p> <p>A datum should not be presented in more than one format, it is used either as a Table or Figure (Pie chart, Bar chart, line graph, et). The author should choose one.</p> <p>Recommendation is for further work on areas not covered in the current research.</p>	
Optional/General comments	May consider using or adding these as key words "Finger millet, micronutrients, proximate composition"	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anne I. Peter-Ikechukwu
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology, Nigeria