

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_87319
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on the ethnobotany, phytochemical and pharmacological profile of <i>Senna occidentalis</i> L. (Fabaceae): Potential application as remedy in the treatment of Dysmenorrhea
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)		
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This research article gives a full review of the plant <i>Senna occidentalis</i> L. It gives complete picture of the plant and the plant figure also gives identification of the plant easily.</p> <p>A vast information regarding the introductory part is given. The strong literature background gives full information regarding the plant and the various researches done with this plant are given in detail.</p> <p>The materials and methods given are adequate</p> <p>The results and discussion shows the presence of various chemical constituents present in the different parts of the plants.</p> <p>It gives full information regarding the plant material</p> <p>The aim, scope and present work are clearly mentioned by the authors and they given satisfied information.</p> <p>The conclusion gives information regarding their work</p> <p>The references given from the ancient scientific methods of identification and various research works done with this plant.</p> <p>No controversial matter discussed. Accepted for publication.</p>			
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In the Table 1</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <tr> <td>11,036</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2,69</td> </tr> </table> <p>11.036 – kindly go through the table and correct the decimals.</p> <p>Table 2 the values mentioned like this: 2,45. It should be like this: actually it mentioned the following like this yield (2.45 mg/g) . Kindly verify it and change it.</p>	11,036	2,69	
11,036				
2,69				
Optional/General comments	Accept for publication.			

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Valliappan
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India