

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">European Journal of Medicinal Plants</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_87319
Title of the Manuscript:	Review on the ethnobotany, phytochemical and pharmacological profile of <i>Senna occidentalis</i> L. (Fabaceae): Potential application as remedy in the treatment of Dysmenorrhea
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	'We predict and 'would' highlighted in the abstract section could be replaced with " It is therefore suggested that .....	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	"We" should be removed from all the sections of the review article. In reporting research, expressions like theinvestigator, the experimenter, the research etc could be used instead of personal pronouns.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Purpose of the study may as well be included in the abstract.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	P.N.Okoroh
Department, University & Country	Gregory University, Nigeria