

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_86939
Title of the Manuscript:	Alpha (α)-amylase Inhibitory Property of Anthocleista nobilis leaf extract
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejmp.com/index.php/EJMP/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In Methodology part: <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Is there any standard literature for using the 70 % ethanol for the maceration process of leaf extract?2. How do you come to know the maceration is only for 72 hours, because in general maceration process is upto 7 days?3. In abstract part, you have mentioned the phytoconstituents like flavonoids, saponins, alkaloids, tannins, and terpenoids that were present, where as in discussion part it was only tannins, terpenoids, and saponins. Be specific in phytonstituents.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In abstract part, you have mentioned the phytoconstituents like flavonoids, saponins, alkaloids, tannins, and terpenoids that were present, But where as in discussion part it was only tannins, terpenoids, and saponins. Be specific in phytonstituents.2. In result and discussion part, % inhibition of α-amylase, Please justify the figure 2, the concentration was 0.1 mg/mL and 0.9 mg/mL of the leaf extract, but the figure 2 it was, concentration/100 mg/kg b.w. please be specific in concentration.3. Result and discussion part, need to be verify	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hemalatha K
Department, University & Country	Acharya & BM Reddy College of Pharmacy, India