

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_77369
Title of the Manuscript:	A NARRATIVE REVIEW OF <i>Libidibia ferrea</i> : BOTANICAL ASPECTS, ETHNOPHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES, PHYTOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS, TOXICITY, AND EXPERIMENTAL TESTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Traditionally the plant is valuable; also, the finding of this review is fascinating and the overall presentation of this manuscript is promising, and the results are comprehensive. But some minor change should need that I address as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. A proofreading should need to minimise necessary reading difficulties. So that the manuscript message could be delivered well to the readers.2. Referencing should improve with electronic referencing tools like endnote so that the work precision will be enhanced.3. Plant genus name and <i>in-vitro</i> & <i>in-vivo</i> term should be written in italic symbol. Some point in this manuscript was missed to written in Italic. Author should check and correct those error.	
Optional/General comments	<p>There are some minor additions can improve the manuscript quality so well which as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. A table of pharmacological effect (<i>in-vitro</i> and <i>in-vivo</i>) of this plant could be added.2. A graphical Abstract can be enhanced the manuscript quality.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Fowzul Islam Fahad</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>International Islamic University Of Chittagong, Bangladesh</i>