



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_68659
Title of the Manuscript:	Mechanistic validation of an ergogenic agent Oxyjun® using a molecular docking approach
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In results the samples are firstly screened by docking then by molsoft and molinspiration? Or the samples with good bioactivity are docked?	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In material and methods the CMS screen how was it done? Explanation required. 2. Table 2: Structure given are PDB structure or by any other software. 3. What does Figure 1 depicts? 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maleeka Sharma
Department, University & Country	Punjabi University, India