

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Chemical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CSIJ_79713
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Occupational Lead Exposure in Informal Work Environment in Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcsij.com/index.php/CSIJ/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The authors write that the study purpose is evaluate the concentration of airborne Pb in the production areas in the informal sector in Kenya. But the authors should write why they need the results of the evaluate the concentration... and this will be the true goal. Otherwise, if you conduct an evaluate the concentration..., it is not clear why it should be done</p> <p>2. The authors write their findings as fact, but the recommendations and conclusions from the results are not clear. The conclusion should be expanded and explained, for example, if many workers did not know about the existing danger, then as a conclusion - it is necessary to organize free education of people, figure out how to improve the literacy of the population, ensure control over such employers as suggested in other countries (for example, research by prof. O. Kruzhilko) or something else (the authors must draw a conclusion). However, they simply stated the fact, announced the numbers, but it is not clear what to do with this information.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. It is also useful for the authors to study the works of prof. O. Kruzhilko, such as - Kruzhilko, O., Polukarov, O., Vambol, S., Vambol, V., Khan, N. A., Maystrenko, V., ... & Khan, A. H. (2020). Control of the workplace environment by physical factors and SMART monitoring. Archives of Materials Science and Engineering, 103(1). - Kruzhilko, O., Volodchenkova, N., Maystrenko, V., Feshchenko, A., & Yeremenko, S. (2021). Mathematical modelling of professional risk at Ukrainian metallurgical industry enterprises. Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineeringthis link is disabled, 2021, 108(1), 35–41 - Kruzhilko, O., Maystrenko, V., Kalinchyk, V., Polukarov, Y., Mitiuk, L., Bilotserkivska, N., ... & Kachur, T. (2020). Development of the effective information and analytical support of the OSH management system. Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering, 99(2). and it is possible to apply the results of these studies for their own research. In particular, the authors can offer recommendations in organizing the control of harmful and hazardous environmental factors similar to the approaches that are proposed in these or similar articles.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Viola Vambol
Department, University & Country	National University «Yuri Kondratyuk Poltava Polytechnic», Ukraine