

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_89945
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardization of 'Bhagwa' pomegranate (<i>Punica granatum</i> L.) nectar
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Its advised that the author introduce the objective of the study and the problem in the abstract before diving in the method and results.2. The author used acronyms without listing an identification before or after, such as TSS→ that means total dissolved solid.3. In page 2 the author explained that 'After that, a staining was done with two layers of muslin cloth' does the author mean staining or straining?4. Figures and tables are not cross-referenced.5. References in the manuscript are not following one style.6. In page 3 the author wrote 'The flavour score of pomegranate nectar was found significant in juice %, TSS level, acidity % and interaction effect of TSS and acidity.'. I don't understand why there are no values in this sentence?7. The significance of the results and the contribution of this paper to the field of food quality and food safety were not appropriately addressed in the conclusion/discussion.8. Proofreading is required.	
Minor REVISION comments	Use online citation tools, such as Mendeley or Zotero.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nada Basheir Ali Akaree
Department, University & Country	University Putra Malaysia, Malaysia