

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_89945
Title of the Manuscript:	Standardization of 'Bhagwa' pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) nectar
Type of the Article	short communications

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper will be considered for publication.</p> <p># below are my view point about manuscript</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The authors must add at least 6-7 latest reference (Year 2020, 2021, 2022) related to recent work done 'Bhagwa' pomegranate (<i>Punica granatum</i> L.) nectar with complete citation in introduction paragraph.2. The authors give the graphical or image form abstract for work done mention which imparts clarity of present work.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The english of the article need to be improved2. The authors mention main advantages or application of present work and what are future scope of this work?3. Enhancing the quality of Fig.1-5 image not look clearly4. The authors mention acidity (%) ,add explanation in terms of PH5. In material methods authors gives details description of which advance analytical instruments (techniques)used for experimental interpretation and chemical purity of present research work	
Optional/General comments	<p>This work may be suitable for publication</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aute Dilip Shankar
Department, University & Country	Padmashri Vikhe Patil College of Arts, Science and Commerce, India