

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_89420
Title of the Manuscript:	DISTRESSED LIVES AND LIVELIHOOD IN BIOSPHERE RESERVES DURING ANTHROPOCENE; SIMILIPAL FOREST BLAZE -2021
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The paper is technically sound and simplipal biosphere reserve is an important forest ecosystem which provide rich terrestrial biodiversity. But author should improve the scientific writing skill. Many sentence in the article is not well structured and difficult to follow.</p> <p>Author should start the heading 3 under the result section. Result section is good but discussion part needs to be improved with relevant reference. However, Author(s) should strictly follow the journal's author guidelines before submitting manuscript in the journal.</p> <p>All reference should be written in text as number and reference section also needs to be changes as well. In reference section, journal format is not followed. Therefore, entire reference needs to be rewrite as journal format</p> <p>Authors should check the English language editing as many phrase and sentence are wrongly written, difficult to understand and follow. Always write research papers in simple sentence.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Paper can be accepted after major revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Koushik Sadhukhan
Department, University & Country	National Centre for Coastal Research, India