

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88912
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on perception and utilization of services of Rythu Bharosa Kendra's (RBKs) by the farmers in Chittoor District of Andhra Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Check the ranking and percentage value in Table 2 and accordingly rewrite the result and discussion. Follow the author name "Garret's / Garrett's" in entire manuscript Check the author name given in manuscript and reference, should be written without spelling mistake	
Minor REVISION comments	Few corrections were mentioned and should be corrected out	
Optional/General comments	Good research and collection of data is appreciable regarding the new scheme launched by Andhra government	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Vennila
Department, University & Country	Annamalai University, India