

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88577
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimum stratification for estimation of mango production in Himachal Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	There is no real Introduction, the part written as introduction better fits <b>Material and Methods</b> . Also, I didn't see the part <b>Conclusion</b> .	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The abstract should be simplified, to contain goals, results, and clear conclusions.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I didn't see a real discussion, comparing the results with other researchers in that field. Please, confirm your results with more examples from other research works.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Snejana Dineva
Department, University & Country	Trakia University, Bulgaria