

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_88017
Title of the Manuscript:	Using Kurtosis for Selecting One-Sample T-Test or Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test
Type of the Article	Methods Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Correct the following:</p> <p>(i) The references in the body of the work should be numbered rather than writing the name of the author(s). For example: When employing the Student's t-test, samples should meet certain criteria, such as Normality, equal variances and independence [Kim, 2015]. It should be: When employing the Student's t-test, samples should meet certain criteria, such as Normality, equal variances and independence [1]. Other references in the body of the work should follow the same format. Your references should be numbered this way: [1] KIM, T. K. (2015). T test as a parametric statistic. Korean J Anesthesiol, 68, 542-544. [2] KWAK, S. G., KIM, J. H. (2017). Central limit theorem: the cornerstone of modern statistics. Korean J Anesthesiol. 70, 144-156.</p> <p>(ii) The space between the conclusions and references should be reduced.</p> <p>(iii) There should be conformity in your headings. The CONCLUSIONS heading is different from others. It should be the same for all, kindly correct CONCLUSIONS TO BE Conclusions</p>	
Optional/General comments	The article is quite amazing	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sule Omeiza Bashiru
Department, University & Country	Abubakar Audu University, Nigeria