

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_87628
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis and Design of an Industrial Tower
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Please consider the following remarks: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The scope of the study must be more emphasized in the Introduction section;</li><li>- Section 2, Literature review, I felt that is weak in this current version. I recommend to the authors to enhance their literature survey in this section by adding new references. Also, the main contribution should be better highlighted.</li><li>- In Section 3, Model Analysis, each figure and each table must be described and referred in text. I recommend, also, in next sections to describe each figure and each table. Because in this form is very difficult to understand the case analysis and to interpret the results.</li><li>- The Conclusion section need to be improved to highlight the advantages of the study performed and to be more numerical.</li><li>- I recommend to the authors the be more carefully with the template format.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Florina Scarlatache
Department, University & Country	Gheorghe Asachi Technical University, Romania