

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_87449
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF POINCARÉ SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR DUFFING AND PENDULUM UNDER SELECTED PERIODIC EXCITATIONS USING FRACTAL DISK CHARACTERISATION
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	In my opinion the following works (not referenced in the manuscript) should be included in the reference list: Salau T. A.O. and Ajide O.O (2013). Comparative analysis of simulation time in non linear and harmonically excited pendulum and duffing oscillators, International Journal of Computers and Technology, Vol. 10, No. 2. Salau T. A.O. and Ajide O.O (2013). A novel graphic presentation and fractal characterisation of poincare solutions of harmonically excited pendulum, International Journal of Advances in Engineering & Technology, Vol. 6, Issue 3. Salau T. A.O. and Ajide O.O (2013). Simulation of Oscillators Dynamics using Selected Versions of Fourth Order Runge-Kutta Scheme, International Journal of Engineering and Technology Vol. 4 No. 8.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	-----	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Although some significant research studies in this area of expertise have already been conducted, as far as I could inspect the manuscript now submitted to CJAST contains enough new material and purposes an approach with the required level of originality.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jorge F. Oliveira
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic Institute of Leiria, Portugal