

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_87359
Title of the Manuscript:	G x E interaction studies in single cross maize hybrids for quality traits under different environments
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The introduction can be complemented by the insertion of more gaps that the work will fill. Use more current references</p> <p>The results and discussion can be improved, since there is much narration of the results and little discussion about what the work really contributed to the medium.</p> <p>Language can be improved</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Carefully check the formatting of the text, there are places with errors</p> <p>Tables and references can also be formatted to improve the appearance of the paper</p> <p>Use keywords other than those mentioned in the job title</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>In material and methods talk about the statistic to be applied, and you can separate the section into subsections</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dione Dambrós Raddatz
Department, University & Country	University of Brasília, Brazil