

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_87318
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic assessment of milk production with improved fodder in Tamil Nadu, Southern India: Two-stage least square approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In equation (1), the variable C_i is not defined. It may be defined in the text or is it dummy variable (it may be clarified).</p> <p>It is stated that (page-11, para-1), 'The estimated coefficient of fodder in model 1 was higher than the corresponding coefficient in model 2'. It should be other way,</p> <p>'The estimated coefficient of fodder in model 2 was higher than the corresponding coefficient in model 1'. This may be examined.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	H.Chandrashekar
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India