

## Original Research Article

### **Response of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) to irrigation scheduling and nutrient management**

#### **Abstract**

A study was carried out during Rabi-2020-21 at Crop Research Centre (CRC), School of Agriculture, ITM University, Gwalior (MP) to investigate the response of barley crop (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) to irrigation scheduling and nutrient management. The study was carried out in split plot design with irrigation scheduling at different crop growth stages and fertilizer applications. The treatments were I<sub>0</sub>: No irrigation; I<sub>1</sub>: Irrigation at CRI stage; I<sub>2</sub>: Irrigation at CRI and tillering stages. The results of the study revealed that irrigation scheduling has significant effect on morpho-physiological attributes and yield of the barley crop. Comparatively two irrigations at CRI and late tillering stages recorded maximum grain yield (4309.50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) which was 28.90% and 20.90% significantly higher than barley plants received no irrigation and one irrigation at CRI stage, respectively. Comparatively foliar application of 100% NPK in combination with foliar application of 19:19:19 at 30 and 60 days (F<sub>4</sub>) was recorded significant improvement in morpho-physiological attributes of barley. Moreover, with F<sub>4</sub> treatment, the grain yield was recorded maximum (4064.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) as compared to other treatment. The treatment combination, I<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (Two irrigations and 100% NPK combination with foliar application of 19:19:19 fertilizer at 30 and 60 days after sowing had maximum gross (₹ 89256.00/ha) and net income (₹ 60836.00/ha) with B:C ratio of 3.15.

**Key words:** Barley, irrigation scheduling, 19:19:19 fertilizer, gross and net income

**Comment [1]:** research

**Comment [2]:** ,

**Comment [3]:** and nutrient management

**Comment [4]:** main plots consisted of 3 irrigation treatments viz;

**Comment [5]:** Consisted

**Comment [6]:** and sub plots consisted of four nutrient management treatments viz; N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>4</sub>.

**Comment [7]:** 2 irrigations scheduled at

**Comment [8]:** Among nutrient management,

**Comment [9]:** Fertiliser

**Comment [10]:** Registered significantly higher yield attributes and seed yield of barley

**Comment [11]:** While,

**Comment [12]:** fetched

**Comment [13]:** ,

**Comment [14]:** returns

**Comment [15]:** and

**Comment [16]:** Nutrient management

**Comment [17]:** Monetary returns

#### **Introduction**

After the rice, wheat and maize, barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) is the fourth important cereal crop in the world contributing about 7% in total cereal production (Giraldo et al., 2019). Its cultivation has been reported from a wide range of agro-climatic conditions. Globally barley covers 50.90 Mha during 2020-21 (ICAR-IIWBR 2021). According to USDA (2021), barley contributes covers 153.47 MT production. Barley is an important rabi season crop of Northern India. In India, barley is considered as a poor man's cereal and small-holders crop and covers 0.61 Mha (2020-21) (ICAR-IIWBR 2021). During the year 2020-21, barley production was reached 1.82 MT with a productivity of 2988 kg/ha (ICAR-IIWBR 2021). The crop is suited to the areas having less irrigation facilities are less and alkaline soil. However, barley has high sensitivity to various stresses at different growth stages such as during jointing, booting and heading. Moreover, barley is also unable to withstand drought conditions (Jai et al., 2015). Drought stress during early growth stage results in the production of tillers that never produce heads, thus significantly reduces the total production. The presence of optimum moisture in soil is essential for having good production from barley crop.

Soil moisture levels should be more than 50% in rhizosphere from seedling to milking growth stage (Jai et al., 2015). Therefore, proper scheduling of irrigation timing is imperative for obtaining good production from barley crop. Provision of balanced nutrition to the plants and their efficient utilization plays a critical role in boosting the production and productivity of any crops. Insufficient N, P and K the reduced grain production and quality well below to the acceptable level, while nutrients application in higher amount results into low nutrient use efficiency and high cost of cultivation (Nirere et al., 2019). Recently, foliar application of nutrients to supplement the soil application of fertilizers is gaining more attention for efficient translocation and utilization of nutrients in plant thus for improving production as well as productivity (Ahmed et al., 1994). Recently, new generation water soluble fertilizers have been popularized especially for foliar application. NPK 19:19:19 fertilizer is available as hundred % water soluble complete fertilizer containing N(19%), P (19%) and K (19%) with low salt index. It application at critical stages can be beneficial where the nutrient requirement of plant exceeds the normal uptake for certain nutrients (Fageria et al., 2019). With soil application, the availability of macro and micro-nutrients is affected by soil as well as many environmental factors. Among the different soil factors affecting the fertilizer and nutrient use efficiency, the availability of optimum moisture in soil is essential. Considering this investigation involving the application of foliar application of fertilizer and maintenance of soil moisture status is essential for obtaining higher yield from barley crop.

## Materials and Methods

The present experiment was conducted during the Rabi - 2020-21 at Crop Research Centre (CRC), School of Agriculture, ITM University, Gwalior to evaluate the combined effect of Irrigation scheduling and nutrient management on growth, and yield parameters of barley. The field experiment was consisted of three irrigation scheduling as main treatment namely: I<sub>0</sub>: No irrigation; I<sub>1</sub>: Irrigation at CRI stage; I<sub>3</sub>: Irrigation at CRI and tillering stages and four fertilizer

Comment [18]: B

Comment [19]: after the rice, wheat and maize

Comment [20]: to

Comment [21]: italics

Comment [22]: Is cultivated over

Comment [23]: To

Comment [24]: italics

Comment [25]: italics

Comment [26]: in

Comment [27]: italics

Comment [28]: italics

Comment [29]: Its

Comment [30]: is

Comment [31]: italics

Comment [32]: In

Comment [33]: pivotal

Comment [34]: Keeping these points in view the present investigation in barley was carried out to identify the effect of irrigation scheduling and nutrient management on growth and yield of barley .

Comment [35]: italics

Comment [36]: main treatments involving

Comment [37]: Sub plot treatments namely;

application as sub-treatment; F<sub>1</sub>: 50% NPK+ Foliar application of 19:19:19 at 30 and 60 DAS), F<sub>2</sub>: 75% NPK+ Foliar application of 19:19:19 at 30 and 60 DAS, F<sub>3</sub>: 100% NPK+ Foliar application of 19:19:19 at 30 and 60 DAS; F<sub>4</sub>: 100% NPK in all possible combinations in split plot design. Each treatment was replicated thrice. Sowing of barley (variety: Prakhar) was done @ 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The soil of the experimental field was alluvial, sandy clay loam in texture with pH of 7.76, and 188.80 kg/ha available N, 13.40 kg/ha P and 216.00 kg/ha K. The nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were applied through urea, (Diammonium phosphate) and MOP (Murate of Pottash). The full dose of phosphorus, potassium and half dose of nitrogen were given below the seed at the time of sowing as basal dose, whereas, the remaining half dose of nitrogen was top-dressed after first irrigation. Foliar application of 19:19:19 was done @ 1% as per treatment. All other agronomic practices except those under study were kept normal and uniform for all the treatments. All data related to growth and yield was collected and subjected to statistical analysis using the One Way Anova (Fisher 1958). nutrients and PH.

## Result and discussion

Morpho-physiological attributes are directly related to economic yield from a crop and change with the prevailing environmental conditions. From the study, it was evident that all the growth attributes including plant height, number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, and number of ear-head m<sup>-1</sup>) and yield attributes characters (i.e. length and weight of ear-head, grains ear-head<sup>-1</sup>, and test weight) of barley significantly affected by scheduling of irrigations at different growth stages (Table-1). In the study, I<sub>2</sub> treatment recorded significant higher number of ear heads as compared to the other treatment. The improvement in morpho-physiological attributes under I<sub>2</sub> treatment may be attributed to the increased moisture content in loamy sand soil which usually has limited water holding capacity. The increased moisture content in the soil might have resulted in better nutrient use efficiency thereby leading to the profuse growth. Similar results have been reported by Kibe and Singh (2003) and Singh *et al.* (2012).

The maximum ear head length (7.23 cm) and weight (4.56 g) was observed with I<sub>2</sub> treatment and was statistically similar to I<sub>1</sub> treatment (Table-1). I<sub>2</sub> treatment also recorded significantly higher number of grains per ear head and test weight of barley which was at par with I<sub>1</sub> treatment, whereas minimum was recorded for I<sub>0</sub> treatment. The increased availability of moisture at critical growth stages might have increased the proliferation of leaf buds. The results corroborates with the findings of Sharma and Verma (2010) who observed significant improvement in yield attributes of wheat crop received proper soil moisture at critical growth stages.

It is evident from results (Table-2), that the irrigated treatments (I<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>2</sub>) recorded significantly higher grain yield as compared to no irrigation treatment. With I<sub>2</sub> treatment, significant improvement in yield was recorded (4309.50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). The increment in yield with I<sub>1</sub> was 28.90% and 20.90% higher as compared to I<sub>0</sub> and I<sub>1</sub> treatments, respectively. The results on grain and straw yield of barley are in confirmation with

- Comment [38]: laid out
- Comment [39]: and replicated thrice.
- Comment [40]: adopting seed rate of
- Comment [41]: give the results of soil based on available
- Comment [42]: F
- Comment [43]: s
- Comment [44]: in
- Comment [45]: s
- Comment [46]: s
- Comment [47]: As the study is on irrigation water use efficiency could be given in table and discussed
- Comment [48]: viz;
- Comment [49]: Delete,
- Comment [50]: s
- Comment [51]: s
- Comment [52]: Delete ,
- Comment [53]: were
- Comment [54]: irrigation
- Comment [55]: schedule
- Comment [56]: and
- Comment [57]: give details of irrigation
- Comment [58]: ly
- Comment [59]: s
- Comment [60]: that
- Comment [61]: M
- Comment [62]: grains per ear head ( ??? ) and test weight ( ??? g )
- Comment [63]: were
- Comment [64]: W
- Comment [65]: Yield attributes
- Comment [66]: with
- Comment [67]: give treatment details
- Comment [68]: I
- Comment [69]: And resulted in higher growth and yield attributes
- Comment [70]: with
- Comment [71]: Give treatment details and not shot forms
- Comment [72]: Treatment details
- Comment [73]: The grain yield with I1 and I2 were \_??? and \_????\_kg/ha.
- Comment [74]: Give details on haulm yield

observations made by Sharma and Verma (2010). Harvest index of barley was observed in the range of 37.93 to 42.63 % under different irrigation-scheduling treatments. The maximum value of HI (42.63%) was observed with I<sub>2</sub> treatment. Similar results have also been reported by Jana *et al.* (2001) and Yadav *et al.* (2005).

It has been well established that by giving better agronomic manipulations, production and productivity of any crop could be considerably increased. Proper combination and application of macro as well as micro elements is essential to bring significant improvement in the crop production. Adequate nitrogen to plants not only promotes food synthesis but also its subsequent partitioning to the sink from the source. Nitrogen also promotes the better utilization of other nutrients. Deficiency of phosphorus affects carbon absorption and distribution in different plant parts. Potassium facilitates translocation of photosynthates towards various organs of plant body and increases plumpness and boldness of seeds.

With different fertilizer treatments, maximum value of growth and yield attributes was recorded with F<sub>3</sub> treatment which was significantly higher to F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>4</sub> treatments. Significant improvement in yield attributes may be due to increased availability of minerals to the plants, which resulted in increased synthesis and accumulation of nutrients in leaves and their translocation to the productive organs. Similar findings have also been reported by Asghar *et al.* (2011) and Mamathashree *et al.* (2017). With F<sub>3</sub> treatment, the yield was significantly the yield was recorded highest (4064.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and minimum grain yield (3199.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noted for F<sub>1</sub> treatment. Similar findings were also reported by Sharma and Verma (2010) for wheat crop. The increased accumulation and translocation photosynthetic from source to the sink under easy availability of soil moisture may be attributed to the improvement in increased all the growth and yield attributes. Increase dose of NPK fertilizers rate resulted in higher grain weight and grain yield compared with the lower level of fertilizer application i.e. (50 % NPK), which could be associated with the increase in number of grains per ear head. The findings of the study corroborates with the results reported by Cossani *et al.* (2009) and Sharma *et al.* (2020).

### Economics analysis

The I<sub>2</sub> treatment registered maximum gross and net return (₹. 81913.00 and ₹ 57563.00/ha), respectively followed by I<sub>1</sub> treatment. Moreover, B:C ratio and economic efficiency was also observed under I<sub>2</sub> treatment (Table-3). The increase in gross and net returns and B:C ratio in I<sub>2</sub> treatment might be due to increase in grain and straw yield increase in under the same treatments. A similar result has also been reported by Jai *et al.* (2015). Under different fertilizer application, highest gross and net income of ₹ 77513.00 and ₹ 51093.00/ha and B:C ratio of 2.93 was recorded under F<sub>3</sub> treatment which was higher over rest of other treatments. However, minimum gross and net income as well as B:C ratio was recorded for F<sub>1</sub> treatment. Similar findings with different fertilizer treatments were recorded by Mamathashree *et al.* (2017) and Rundla and Bairwa (2018).

### CONCLUSION

- Comment [75]: harvest index
- Comment [76]: Treatment details ????
- Comment [77]: Adopting good
- Comment [78]: practices
- Comment [79]: s
- Comment [80]: enhanced
- Comment [81]: nutrients
- Comment [82]: to the sink
- Comment [83]: Nutrient management
- Comment [84]: Significantly higher
- Comment [85]: were
- Comment [86]: Full details of treatment may (...)
- Comment [87]: Full details of treatment may (...)
- Comment [88]: italics
- Comment [89]: Grain
- Comment [90]: With F3
- Comment [91]: Significantly lower
- Comment [92]: registered
- Comment [93]: In
- Comment [94]: I
- Comment [95]: of
- Comment [96]: photosyntahtes
- Comment [97]: adequate
- Comment [98]: as
- Comment [99]: to the corresponding
- Comment [100]: of
- Comment [101]: Full details
- Comment [102]: s
- Comment [103]: Full details
- Comment [104]: ,
- Comment [105]: over rest of the treatments
- Comment [106]: S
- Comment [107]: s
- Comment [108]: were
- Comment [109]: italics
- Comment [110]: nutrient management
- Comment [111]: treatments
- Comment [112]: ,
- Comment [113]: were
- Comment [114]: accrued
- Comment [115]: Full details
- Comment [116]: M
- Comment [117]: were
- Comment [118]: with
- Comment [119]: full details
- Comment [120]: On higher monetary returns

The study concluded that ~~100% NPK + foliar spray of 19:19:19 at 30 & 60 DAS with two irrigations at CRI and late tillering stages produced the higher economic yield form barley crop. The above combination of irrigation and fertilizer treatment also gave the highest net returns and benefit cost ratio in barley in Sandy Clay Loam soil of Gwalior district of Madhya Pradesh.~~

**Comment [121]:** two irrigations at CRI and late tillering stages and application of 100% NPK + foliar spray of 19:19:19 at 30 & 60 DAS

**Comment [122]:** Recorded higher yield attributes , grain yield and monetary returns in barley crop on sandy soils of Gwalior district of Madhya Pradesh..

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**References**

**Comment [123]:** References may be arranged as per journal format

- Ahmed, M. A. and Ahmed, M. K. A., (2005), Growth and productivity of wheat plants as affected by complete foliar fertilizer compound under water stress in newly cultivated sandy land. *Arab Univ. J. Agric. Sci.*, Ain Shams Univ., Cairo, 13 : 269- 284.
- Asghar, G. A., Tawfik, M. M. and Hassanein, M. S. (2011) *Foliar feeding of potassium and urea for maximizing wheat productivity in sandy soil*. *Aus. J. Basic and Appld. Sci.*, 5( 5) : 1197-1203.
- Cossani, M., Slafer, G and Savin, R. (2009). Yield and biomass in wheat and barley under a range of conditions in a Mediterranean site. *Field Crops Research*, 112: 205–213.
- Fisher R.A. *Statistical Methods for Research Workers*. Oliver and Boyd., Edinburgh. 1958.
- Giraldo P , Benavente E, Manzano-Agugliaro F and Gimenez E (2019) Worldwide research trends on wheat and barley: A bibliometric comparative analysis. *Agronomy*, 9, 352; doi:10.3390/agronomy9070352
- Jai, P, Siddiqui MZ, Dwivedi A, Thaneshwar, Bankoti P and Singh A (2015) effect of irrigation and fertility balance on performance and production economics of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*, 7 (13): 817-821.
- Jana, P. K., Bandyopadhyay, P., Ray, D. and Bhowmick, M. K. (2001). Response of wheat to irrigation regimes in new alluvial zone of West Bengal. *Annals of Agricultural Research*; 22 (4) : 498-502.
- ICAR-IIWBR (2021) Progress Report of AICRP on Wheat and Barley 2020-21, Social Sciences. Eds: Satyavir Singh, Anuj Kumar, Sendhil R, Anil Kumar Khippal and GP Singh. ICAR-Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal, Haryana, India. P. 32.
- Kibe, A. M. and Singh, Subedar (2003). Influence of irrigation, nitrogen adoption zinc on productivity and water use by late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*. 48(3): 186-191.
- Mamathashree, C.M., Patil, M.B. and Shilpa, H.D. (2017) Effect of foliar spray of water soluble fertilizers on total dry matter production nutrient uptake and economics in pigeonpea. *Agric. Update*, 12(3) : 725-730.
- Nirere, K., Ata, Z. C., Muhammad, F. and Muhammad, B. K., (2019) *Fertigation and foliar application of fertilizers alone and in combination with canola extracts enhances yield in wheat*. *Crop & Environ.*, 2(1) : 42-45.
- Roy D.K. and Singh B.P. (2006) Effect of irrigation scheduling and fertility levels on the yield, yield attributes, water use efficiency and nutrient concentration of mustard. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 51(1), 40-42.
- Rundla, Suryan and Bairwa, R.C. (2018) Response of Foliar Supplementation of N, P and K Fertilizers on Yield and Economics of Pearl Millet. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci* ;7(4): 1203-1209.
- Sharma R.K. and Verma R.P.S. (2010) Performance of wheat genotypes under restricted irrigation conditions. *Cereal Research and Communication*, 38(3), 419-428.

Comment [124]: Remove italics

- Sharma, R. K., Tripathi, S. C., Chhokar, R. S. and Sharma, V. K. (2020) Water soluble liquid fertilizers in wheat productivity and quality. *Agric. Sci. Digest*, 22(3) : 161-163.
- Singh, A. K., Singh, S. B., Singh, A. P. and Sharma, A. K. (2012). Genetic variability, character association and path analysis for seed yield and its component characters in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) under rainfed environment. *Indian J. Agil. Res.* 46 (1): 48-53.
- Yadav, M. S., Bhardwaj, A. K., Mahendra Singh, Mishra, R. D. and Lal, B. (2005). Response of late planted wheat to fertility and irrigation levels under shallow water table conditions of Mollisols of Uttaranchal and U.P. *Farming systems Res. & Development*. 11(1): 60-64.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1: Effect of irrigation scheduling and fertilizer application on growth and yield attributes of barley**

Treatments	Growth and yield attributed characters						
	Plant height (cm)	Number of tillers/plant	Number of ear-heads	Ear head length (cm)	Number of grains/ear head	Ear head W weight (g)	Test weight (g)
<b>Irrigation scheduling (I)</b>							
<b>I<sub>0</sub></b> : No irrigation	83.96	12.76	176.45	6.21	32.93	4.03	31.95
<b>I<sub>1</sub></b> : One irrigation - only at CRI stage	92.77	14.20	184.71	7.02	36.48	4.36	34.39
<b>I<sub>2</sub></b> : Two irrigations - at CRI and late tillering stages	97.95	16.07	194.39	7.23	38.09	4.56	35.90
<b>SE(m) ±</b>	<b>0.74</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>2.45</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.52</b>
<b>CD (5%)</b>	<b>2.90</b>	<b>0.57</b>	<b>9.60</b>	<b>0.29</b>	<b>1.63</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>2.05</b>
<b>Fertilizer application (F)</b>							
<b>F<sub>1</sub></b> : 50% NPK+19:19:19 at 30 & 60 DAS	83.37	12.67	172.42	5.73	31.18	3.79	29.87
<b>F<sub>2</sub></b> : 75% NPK+ 19:19:19 at 30 & 60 DAS	90.58	14.02	182.92	6.84	35.22	4.34	34.30
<b>F<sub>3</sub></b> : 100% NPK+19:19:19 at 30 & 60 DAS	98.57	15.47	193.66	7.52	38.65	4.64	36.21
<b>F<sub>4</sub></b> : 100% NPK	93.71	15.22	191.75	7.18	38.26	4.49	35.94
<b>SE(m) ±</b>	<b>1.18</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>4.26</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.67</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.37</b>
<b>CD (5%)</b>	<b>3.52</b>	<b>0.68</b>	<b>13.16</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>1.98</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>1.08</b>
<b>Interaction (I x F)</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>S*</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>S*</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>

**Table - 2: Effect of irrigation scheduling and fertilizer application on yield parameters of barley**

Treatments	Yield (kg/ ha)			HI (%)
	Grain	Straw	Biological	
<b>Irrigation scheduling</b>				
I <sub>0</sub> : No irrigation	3343.70	5464.10	8807.80	37.93
I <sub>1</sub> : One irrigation - only at CRI stage	3565.40	5492.60	9058.00	39.33
I <sub>2</sub> : Two irrigations - at CRI and late tillering stages	4309.50	5760.40	10069.90	42.63
<b>SE(m) ±</b>	<b>23.00</b>	<b>49.70</b>	<b>59.70</b>	<b>0.24</b>
<b>CD (5%)</b>	<b>90.10</b>	<b>195.20</b>	<b>234.50</b>	<b>0.93</b>
<b>Fertilizer application</b>				
F <sub>1</sub> : 50% NPK +19:19:19 at 30 & 60 DAS	3199.3	5551.6	8750.9	36.53
F <sub>2</sub> : 75% NPK+ 19:19:19 at 30 & 60 DAS	3881.0	5686.5	9567.5	40.45
F <sub>3</sub> : 100% NPK+ F. A. of 19:19:19 at 30 & 60 DAS	4064.4	5547.6	9612.0	42.11
F <sub>4</sub> : 100% NPK	3813.5	5503.8	9317.3	40.77
<b>SE(m) ±</b>	<b>58.40</b>	<b>74.20</b>	<b>104.20</b>	<b>0.42</b>
<b>CD (5%)</b>	<b>173.60</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>309.70</b>	<b>1.25</b>
<b>Interaction (I x F)</b>	<b>S*</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>NS</b>	<b>S*</b>

**Table 3: Economics of different treatments under barley crop**

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (Rs./ha)			Gross return (Rs./ha) *	Net return (Rs./ha)	B : C ratio
	Exclusive treatment	Treatment cost	Total cost			
<b>Irrigation scheduling</b>						
I <sub>0</sub> : No irrigation	22350.00	0.00	22350.00	65793.00	43443.00	2.94
I <sub>1</sub> : One irrigation	22350.00	1000.00	23350.00	69405.00	46055.00	2.97
I <sub>2</sub> : Two irrigations	22350.00	2000.00	24350.00	81913.00	57563.00	3.36
<b>Fertilizer application</b>						
F <sub>1</sub> : 50% NPK+ 19:19:19 at 30 & 60 DAS	22350.00	2610.00	24960.00	63679.00	38719.00	2.55
F <sub>2</sub> : 75% NPK+ 19:19:19 at 30 & 60 DAS	22350.00	3340.00	25690.00	74890.00	49200.00	2.92
F <sub>3</sub> :100% NPK+ 19:19:19 at 30& 60 DAS	22350.00	4070.00	26420.00	77513.00	51093.00	2.93
F <sub>4</sub> : 100% NPK	22350.00	2920.00	25270.00	73399.00	48129.00	2.90
<b>Irrigation scheduling x fertilizer application</b>						
I <sub>0</sub> × F <sub>1</sub>	22350.00	2610.00	24960.00	59073.00	34113.00	2.37
I <sub>0</sub> × F <sub>2</sub>	22350.00	3340.00	25690.00	68305.00	42615.00	2.66
I <sub>0</sub> × F <sub>3</sub>	22350.00	4070.00	26420.00	68977.00	42557.00	2.61
I <sub>0</sub> × F <sub>4</sub>	22350.00	2920.00	25270.00	66817.00	41547.00	2.64
I <sub>1</sub> × F <sub>1</sub>	22350.00	3610.00	25960.00	64158.00	38198.00	2.47
I <sub>1</sub> × F <sub>2</sub>	22350.00	4340.00	26690.00	71811.00	45121.00	2.69
I <sub>1</sub> × F <sub>3</sub>	22350.00	5070.00	27420.00	74307.00	46887.00	2.71
I <sub>1</sub> × F <sub>4</sub>	22350.00	3920.00	26270.00	67344.00	41074.00	2.56
I <sub>2</sub> × F <sub>1</sub>	22350.00	4610	26960.00	67807.00	40847.00	2.52
I <sub>2</sub> × F <sub>2</sub>	22350.00	5340.00	27690.00	84554.00	56864.00	3.05
I <sub>2</sub> × F <sub>3</sub>	22350.00	6070.00	28420.00	89256.00	60836.00	3.15
I <sub>2</sub> × F <sub>4</sub>	22350.00	4920.00	27270.00	86036.00	58766.00	3.14

\* Calculation based on MSP of barley @ 1600/ q & straw 225/ q

Comment [125]: of

Comment [126]: as influenced by irrigation schedule and nutrient management