

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_87211
Title of the Manuscript:	Weed Management Approaches Affects Leaf Nutrient and Chlorophyll Content of Apple (<i>Malus x domestica</i> Borkh.) Nursery Plants on Clonal rootstock
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>This article provides important information regarding the determine the effects of various weed management approaches on leaf nutrient and chlorophyll content in apple nursery plants raised on clonal rootstock M9-T337. Data on Leaf nutrients (Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium) and chlorophyll content in leaves were recorded. The results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields of agriculture. Some corrections need to be made, in order to publish the manuscript.</p> <p>Please, take into account the following recommendations to improve this manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the general presentation of the manuscript should be reviewed, the extra spaces between words or the lack of spaces between titles, subtitles, figures, tables and text according to the requirements of the journal; - I recommend a revision of the manuscript according to the requirements of the journal (English, technical editing, bibliography, etc.); - I recommend the inclusion of a larger number of more recent studies on the subject and their insertion in bibliographic references; - Recommend unitary editing of bibliographic references in the text, according to the requirements of the journal, (some are written with numbers, others with names); - the bibliographic references are missing in the paragraph MATERIAL AND METHODS; - the presentation of the results obtained from this study is very short, referring to a few studies with similar results and I recommend a review of this issue. - I recommend reporting the results obtained to more recent studies and inserting them in the bibliographic references; - I recommend reporting the results obtained to a larger number of bibliographic references; - the presentation of the Conclusions is very short. - For the publication of this study, I recommend supplementing it with other determinations to support its importance and opportunity. <p>I recommend a carefully check of the full manuscript to correct any grammatical or syntax error. Based on the above mentioned, I recommend this paper for publication after performing the suggested corrections.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Daniela Stoin
Department, University & Country	Agriculture Science University, Romania