

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_87202
Title of the Manuscript:	ROLE OF DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS IN RELATIONSHIP WITH HIGH PERFORMANCE WORK SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Necessary improvements to the INTRODUCTION section: - please underline clearly what is the originality and novelty of your research; The Literature Review is prepared properly and contains relevant literature. Please make sure you position yourself/yourselves among previous researchers.</p> <p>METHOD Please explain why you used the analytical tool,</p> <p>RESULT: The reasoning is sound, the and interpretation of data and references correct. The findings in this article are compared to findings of other authors and prior studies.</p> <p>Necessary improvements of the CONCLUSIONS section - please add the following elements: 1.practical implications and recommendations for practice (business/industry or policy makers); 2.description of research limitations;</p> <p>REFERENCES: The literature should the latest published journals in the last 5 years</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Accept with major revision	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahmi Widyanti
Department, University & Country	Universitas Islam Kalimantan MAB Banjarmasin, Indonesia