

Soil fertility status of some villages in Patarghat Block of Saharsa District of Agro-climatic zone-II of Bihar

ABSTRACT

A soil fertility status inventory work was carried out in some villages of Patarghat block in Saharsa district. Results shows that soil texture of the soil under investigation was loamy land. Soil pH value ranged between 6.12 to 7.57 and electrical conductance remained less than 0.38 dSm⁻¹. Soil organic carbon ranged between 4.25 to 7.12 g kg⁻¹. Available nitrogen content in these soil was found to be between 185 to 292 kg ha⁻¹. Available phosphorus content varied from 20.10 to 37.26 kg ha⁻¹. Available potash content varied from 138 to 192 kg ha⁻¹. CaCl₂ extractable soil sulphur varied from 0.70 to 10.25 mg kg⁻¹ renders the soil deficient in S. Hot water soluble boron content ranged from 0.22 to 0.47 mg kg⁻¹. All the figures in lower range was found in upland soils while the higher value of all the parameters were found in low land. There was an increasing trend with respect to soil reaction, soil organic carbon, N, P, K, S and B from upland which is due the washing down of basic cations, organic matter and plant nutrients from upland and subsequent deposition in low land give rise to the higher value in low lying areas. Clay content was found to be positivity correlated with all the parameters except phosphorus. Significant positive correlation of organic carbon, N, K, and B with soil reaction. Similarly, soil organic carbon was positively correlated with clay, macro and micro nutrients.

Key Words: Soil testing, Agro-climate, Patarghat block

Comment [U1]: At least 5 keywords

INTRODUCTION

Saharsa is one of the important district in the eastern part of the state of Bihar, India. It is situated near the eastern banks of the Koshi river with a geographical area of 1687 square kilometre. It is considered as the heart of whole mithila region. It is the place which gave birth too many legends like Mandan Mishra. Saharsa district is surrounded on the west by river Koshi, an abundance of fish and makhana. It is the major producer of best quality of corn and makhana in India. Rice, bamboo, wheat, mustard, sugarcane and sagwan trees are now grown on a large scale. Soil, land and water are essential resources for the sustained quality of human life and the foundation of agricultural development (Barik *et al.*, 2017). In any agricultural operations, soil is the utmost importance as it is the cradle for all crops and plants. This is the reservoir of nutrients that play an important role in supplying the growth of crops, keeping the environment clean (Sahu *et al.*, 2021). Fertilizer with specified dose for a certain crop is always recommended in order to increase its pollution. The fertilizer application by farmers in the field without knowledge of soil fertility status and nutrient requirement of different crop usually leads to adverse effect on soil as well as crop (Nayak *et al.*, 2015). Sound knowledge about soil fertility status is very much relevant for identifying constraints in crop production for attaining sustained productivity. Indian agriculture is operating on a net negative balance of plant nutrients at the rate of 10 million tonnes per annum (Parama and Munawery, 2012). Long term experiments indicated that imbalanced use

Comment [U2]: Please add a reference

of nutrients through fertilizer has a deleterious effect on soil health leading to unsustainable productivity. It is therefore important to regularly monitor the fertility status of soil from time to time with a view to sustain the soil health (Sashikala *et al.*, 2021). A soil resource inventory provides an insight into the potentialities and limitations of soil for its effective explanation (Manchanda *et al.*, 2002). Keeping the above facts in view a soil resource inventory work was undertaken to study the soil fertility status of three villages of Patarghat block in Saharsa district of Bihar. The study has generated a lot of information related to the soil physico-chemical properties and their interrelationship for better understanding of soil fertility which would provide the basis for implementing the advanced technologies for sustainable crop production with higher profitability.

Materials and Methods

Surface (0-15 cm) soil samples were collected from the randomly selected villages namely Bishunpur, Kishunpur and Lachhmipur of Patarghat block of Saharsa district under agro-climatic zone-II of Bihar. As per modern system of soil classification the soils comes under Entisols. Collected samples were air-dried, grind with wooden pastel and mortar and sieved through 2.00 mm sieve (0.2 mm sieve for organic carbon) labelled and stored. The samples were analyzed the chemical parameters *viz.*, pH and electrical (Jackson, 1973); organic carbon (Walkley and Black, 1934), available nitrogen (Subbiah and Asija, 1956), available P (Olsen *et al.*, 1954), available K (Piper, 1966), available (CaCl₂ extractable) soil sulphur (Chesnin and Yien, 1950) and hot water soluble boron (Berger and Truog, 1939), soil textural classes (Bouyoucos, 1962) was also determined. The analytical methods were followed as per the procedure laid down by Jackson (1973).

Results and Discussion

Physico-chemical properties

Most of the soil of these villages are waterlogged in rainy season because of inundation of standing water from flood. Soil texture of Bishunpur and Kishunpur village was sandy loam but in Lachhmipur soil texture varied from loamy sand to sandy clay loam. High amount of clay content was found in low land as clay particles are washed down from the up and medium land during rainfall and their subsequent deposition in low land because of the pedogenic process of colluviation (Barik *et al.*, 2017).

Surface soils of Bishunpur village were slightly active to slightly saline which varied from 6.45 to 7.72 (table-1). In Kishunpur soil pH varied from 6.81 to 7.78 which come under moderately neutral to saline. Similarly in Lachhmipur soil pH ranged from 6.23 to 7.60 which indicates that soils are slightly acidic to neutral. Soil pH is significantly and negatively correlated with sand but positively with silt, clay, organic carbon and nitrogen. The electrical conductivity values of soil of Bishunpur village ranged from 0.28 to 0.56 dSm⁻¹. The low land generally have higher electrical conductivity values in comparison to upland and medium land but the value remains within the safe limit for crop production. In Bishunpur village soil organic carbon content was found to vary 0.39 to 0.48 %, 0.51 to 0.59 per cent and 0.67 to 0.78 per cent in upland, medium land and low land respectively (table-1).

Comment [U3]: The discussion is weak, please support it with references

Similarly, in Kishunpur village it ranged from 0.39 to 0.77 per cent in different land type. The soil of Lachhmipur village varied 0.46 to 0.75 per cent. Higher soil organic carbon content in low land soils of all the villages is because of the lower topographical position due to which they receive runoff washing of upland and a medium land soils which is decomposed by microorganisms giving rise to higher content soil organic carbon. Soil organic carbon is negatively correlated with sand but significantly and positively correlated with N, available K.

Macro nutrients

An increasing trend of average soil nitrogen content was observed in all the villages from upland to low land. Soils of low land contained more N in comparison to the medium and upland. Soils available nitrogen is negatively correlated with sand but positively correlated with pH, K, S and B. the P_2O_5 content in all soils of Bishunpur village was found to be low to high. Soils of Kishunpur village were medium in P_2O_5 content. Similarly, soils of Lachhmipur were medium to high which indicate a very good index of soil fertility. Mean available P_2O_5 content in soils of all the villages increased from upland to lowland. Higher value of available phosphorus in low land soil may be due to higher content of soil organic carbon as phosphorus is released from the organic matter slowly. Soil available phosphorus is negatively correlated with clay but positively correlated with organic carbon, N, K, S and B (table-4). Available soil potassium content in all the villages increased according to land situation from upland to lowland. Comparatively higher content of potassium in the low land soils may be due to the presence of higher content of clay in the low land surface soil. Soil available potassium was negatively correlated with sand but positively correlated with clay, organic carbon, N, P_2O_5 , S and B. the results shows that the soil under investigations were low to medium in S content which can limit the crop production specially oil seed crops. Comparatively higher amount of available sulphur in low land soils may be attributed to the higher amount of organic carbon in the low land soil (Das *et al.*, 2012). Lower correlation of S in upland soils may be characterized by the leaching of surface runoff loss and subsequent accumulation of sulphur in low land (Paul and Mukhopadhyay, 2014). Available S was positively correlated with pH, organic carbon, N, P_2O_5 , K and B. similar significant positive correlation of S was also observed by Ali *et al.* (2014) and Das *et al.* (2012). Mean hot water soluble B content was found to be increased from upland to low land situation. Thus, higher level of B was found in low land soil compared to the upland and medium land soils. This might be attributed to the higher amount of organic carbon present in low land soil and washing down of B from up to medium land. Hot water soluble boron was positively correlated with pH, organic carbon, N, P, K and S. similar finding was observed by Behera *et al.* (2016).

Conclusion

The present study help to build up the data base evaluation, planning and monitoring of soil fertility status which can serve the farming community for higher profitability with a balanced recommendation of fertilizer in sustainable manner for present and in future.

References:

- Barik, Rashmita; Saren, Subhashis; Mishra, Antaryami and Acharya, Baman Prasad (2017). Soil fertility status of some villages in Astaranga block of Puri district of east and south-eastern coastal plain agroclimatic zone of Odisha. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* **19**(4): 408-412.
- Ali, Javed; Singh, S. and Katiyar, D. K. (2014). Forms in sulphur in wheat growing soils of Agra district of Uttar Pradesh. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research* **16**: 148-150.
- Behera, S.; Mishra, A.; Acharya, B.P.; Saren, S. and Mishra, J. (2016). Soil fertility status of some villages under east and south eastern coastal plain agro-climatic zone of Odisha. *Journal of the Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research* **34**(1): 63-67.
- Das, K. N.; Basumatari, A. and Borkotoki, Bikram (2012). Forms of sulphur in some rapeseed-growing soils of Assam. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* **60**(1): 13-19.
- Manchanda, M. L.; Kudrat, M. and Tiwari, A. K. (2002). Soil survey and mapping using remote sensing. *Tropical Ecology* **43**(1): 61-74.
- Nayak, S. R.; Saren, S.; Mishra, A. and Acharya, B. P. (2015). Soil fertility status of some villages in Chilika block of north eastern ghat agroclimatic zone of Odisha. *International Journal of Environmental and Agriculture Research* **1**(2): 1-5.
- Paul, Sankar Ch. and Mukhopadhyay, P. (2014). Forms of sulphur and evaluation of the sulphur test methods for moongbean in some Terai soils of Eastern India. *International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology* **6**(1): 137-144.
- Parama, V. R. Ramakrishna and Munawery, Atheefa (2012). Sustainable soil nutrient management. *Journal of the Indian Institute of Science* **92**(1): 1-18.
- Sahu, Devid Kumar; Samadhiya, V. K.; Chandrakar, T.; Netam, Sharvan; Sahu Mahendra and Homeshvari (2021). Macro and micro nutrient status of research farm, college of agriculture and research station Kurud. District Dhamtari, Chhatisgarh. *The Pharma Innovation International Journal* SP-10(8): 32-35.
- Jackson, M. L. (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice Hall Inc. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, USA.
- Olsen, S. R.; Cole, C. V.; Watanable, F. S. and Dean, L. A. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate U. S. D. A. *Cric*. 939.
- Piper, C. S. (1966). *Soil and Plant Analysis (Asia Edition)*, Hans Publishers, Bombay, India.
- Subbiah, B. V. and Asija, C. L. 1956. A rapid procedure for the determination of available nitrogen in soils. *Current Sci.* **25**: 259-262.
- Sashikala, G.; Naidu, M.V.S.; Ramana, K.V.; Nagamadhuri, K.V.; Reddy, A. Pratap Kumar; Sudhakar, P. and Krishna, T. Giridhara (2021). Mapping of nutrients status in Tatrakallu Village of Anantapuramu District of Andhra Pradesh using geographic information system. *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science* **69**(2): 133-141.
- Walkley, A. J. and Black, I. A. (1934). Estimation of soil organic carbon by the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science* **37**: 29-38.
- Chesnin, L. and Yien, C. H. (1950). Turbidimetric determination of available sulphates. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **15**: 149-151.
- Bouyoucos, G. J. (1962) Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analysis of soils. *Agronomy Journal* **54**: 464-465.
- Berger, K. C. and Truog, E. (1939). Boron determination in soils and plants using the quinalizarin reaction. *Indus. Eng. Chem.* **11**: 540-545.

Table 1: Soil physico-chemical properties and fertility status of Bishunpur Village

| Land Type | Soil Texture | pH | EC (dSm ⁻¹) | OC (%) | Avail N (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail P (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail K (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail S (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail B (Kg ha ⁻¹) |
|-----------|--------------|----|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|-----------|--------------|----|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------|------|------|------|-----|-------|-----|-------|------|
| Upland | Sandy Loam | 6.45 | 0.28 | 0.39 | 230 | 23.98 | 138 | 5.83 | 0.30 |
| Upland | Sandy Loam | 6.73 | 0.30 | 0.42 | 242 | 26.85 | 145 | 5.13 | 0.36 |
| Upland | Sandy Loam | 6.62 | 0.30 | 0.48 | 248 | 28.36 | 148 | 6.07 | 0.31 |
| Medium Land | Sandy Loam | 6.89 | 0.33 | 0.57 | 250 | 36.18 | 152 | 7.14 | 0.39 |
| Medium land | Sandy Loam | 7.10 | 0.37 | 0.59 | 263 | 35.85 | 167 | 8.56 | 0.41 |
| Medium land | Sandy Loam | 7.17 | 0.35 | 0.58 | 276 | 31.80 | 182 | 8.96 | 0.42 |
| Low land | Sandy Loam | 7.28 | 0.37 | 0.67 | 288 | 35.90 | 187 | 9.92 | 0.46 |
| Low land | Sandy Loam | 7.39 | 0.42 | 0.73 | 295 | 37.10 | 189 | 11.00 | 0.47 |
| Low land | Sandy Loam | 7.53 | 0.44 | 0.78 | 310 | 36.73 | 192 | 17.00 | 0.49 |
| Low land | Sandy Loam | 7.72 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 302 | 37.12 | 196 | 21.25 | 0.45 |

Table 2: Soil physico-chemical properties and fertility status of Kishunpur Village

| Land Type | Soil Texture | pH | EC (dSm ⁻¹) | OC (%) | Avail N (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail P (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail K (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail S (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail B (Kg ha ⁻¹) |
|-------------|--------------|------|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Upland | Sandy Loam | 6.81 | 0.28 | 0.42 | 230 | 26.32 | 177 | 9.17 | 0.38 |
| Upland | Sandy Loam | 6.87 | 0.31 | 0.45 | 255 | 27.20 | 188 | 11.20 | 0.35 |
| Upland | Sandy Loam | 6.92 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 250 | 26.96 | 190 | 10.92 | 0.35 |
| Medium Land | Sandy Loam | 7.01 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 285 | 28.55 | 211 | 11.32 | 0.40 |
| Medium land | Sandy Loam | 7.17 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 278 | 30.16 | 207 | 14.17 | 0.42 |
| Medium land | Sandy Loam | 7.33 | 0.51 | 0.58 | 292 | 30.57 | 202 | 15.21 | 0.39 |
| Low land | Sandy Loam | 7.41 | 0.53 | 0.56 | 305 | 31.60 | 235 | 16.37 | 0.46 |
| Low land | Sandy Loam | 7.52 | 0.57 | 0.67 | 300 | 31.85 | 258 | 16.90 | 0.48 |
| Low land | Sandy Loam | 7.55 | 0.54 | 0.73 | 328 | 32.00 | 249 | 17.52 | 0.49 |
| Low land | Sandy Loam | 7.78 | 0.59 | 0.77 | 332 | 32.08 | 265 | 17.05 | 0.52 |

Comment [U4]: Where are the results of Sand, Silt and Clay, please add your results

Table 3: Soil physico-chemical properties and fertility status of Lachhmipur Village


| Land Type | Soil Texture | pH | EC (dSm ⁻¹) | OC (%) | Avail N (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail P (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail K (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail S (Kg ha ⁻¹) | Avail B (Kg ha ⁻¹) |
|-----------|--------------|----|-------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |

Comment [U5]: How did you get Soil Texture?

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-----------------|------|------|------|-----|-------|-----|-------|------|
| Upland | Loamy Sand | 6.23 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 217 | 25.56 | 180 | 10.10 | 0.40 |
| Upland | Loamy Sand | 6.33 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 230 | 25.94 | 217 | 12.20 | 0.38 |
| Upland | Loamy Sand | 6.50 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 228 | 26.39 | 192 | 11.92 | 0.41 |
| Medium Land | Loamy Sand | 6.62 | 0.41 | 0.53 | 245 | 27.02 | 195 | 14.32 | 0.46 |
| Medium land | Loamy Sand | 6.45 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 252 | 28.15 | 235 | 14.96 | 0.43 |
| Medium land | Loamy Sand | 6.78 | 0.45 | 0.59 | 249 | 28.82 | 207 | 16.00 | 0.45 |
| Low land | Sandy Clay Loam | 7.02 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 301 | 30.00 | 258 | 16.25 | 0.48 |
| Low land | Sandy Clay Loam | 7.22 | 0.51 | 0.67 | 332 | 31.52 | 246 | 16.92 | 0.48 |
| Low land | Sandy Clay Loam | 7.45 | 0.58 | 0.72 | 330 | 30.96 | 262 | 17.12 | 0.52 |
| Low land | Sandy Clay Loam | 7.60 | 0.61 | 0.75 | 347 | 31.70 | 267 | 17.29 | 0.50 |

Table 4: Correlation study on different physic-chemical properties

| | Sand | Silt | Clay | pH | EC | OC | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | S | B |
|-------------------------------|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------|------|
| Sand | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Silt | -0.86** | 1.00 | | | | | | | | | |
| Clay | -0.92** | 0.78** | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| pH | -0.70* | 0.57* | 0.62* | 1.00 | | | | | | | |
| EC | -0.42 | 0.25 | 0.39 | 0.75* | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| OC | -0.49 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.72* | 0.83** | 1.00 | | | | | |
| N | -0.46 | 0.32 | 0.46* | 0.80* | 0.70 | 0.90** | 1.00 | | | | |
| P ₂ O ₅ | -0.29 | -0.21 | -0.20 | -0.01 | 0.38 | 0.56* | 0.53** | 1.00 | | | |
| K ₂ O | -0.38 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.50* | 0.76** | 0.82 | 0.82** | 0.78* | 1.00 | | |
| S | -0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.38 | 0.72** | 0.71 | 0.71** | 0.60* | 0.73** | 1.00 | |
| B | -0.25 | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.60* | 0.75** | 0.70 | 0.73* | 0.49* | 0.65* | 0.70* | 1.00 |



UNDER PEER REVIEW

Fig 1: Map of Saharsa district

