

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_87164
Title of the Manuscript:	REGULATORY JOURNEY OF VACCINE DEVELOPMENT IN THE PHILIPPINES
Type of the Article	?????

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I could not understand, what kind of article it is. Still thinking of it as a review article. It is too lengthy and with lots of unwanted, non-scientific, seems like copy paste of words. If it is to be presented as review article, please refine it thoroughly with proper scientific references and resend it. Lots of Wikipedia referencing is cited. I would ask for scientific reference articles for it.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jitendra Vaghela
Department, University & Country	NAMO Medical Education & Research Institute, India