

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_86899
Title of the Manuscript:	Approximation on differences of linear positive operators
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The author(s) are on approximation of positive operators for certain kind of linear differences equations. The following were observed on the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. the author(s) need to carefully read through the manuscript to correct grammatical errors e.g. the first line on the Abstract should be "In this paper" not in the present Paper as written.</li> <li>2. Under the introduction, the references were wrongly cited. Citation of authors should be arranged in ascending order, that is, [1] state the problem(s) and methods used [2]----- - [15]</li> <li>3. the first line after equation (1.1) remove "first" start with we consider -----</li> <li>4. reframe the statement after notations</li> <li>5.conclusion was omitted. Please let us know under your conclusion your contribution to knowledge or which of the authors cited your results improved on their results.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Let the author(s) improve on the paper as mentioned above, hereafter, be accepted for publication.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Peter Ebiendele
Department, University & Country	Lagos State University, Nigeria