

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_86899
Title of the Manuscript:	Approximation on differences of linear positive operators
Type of the Article	It is almost research article but it is not enough

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In this manuscript, author gives some properties and differences between operators. However they are not enough for research article. Author must be improve the manuscript must be add important results.	
Minor REVISION comments	There are some mistakes in the manuscript. I signed them on manuscript. Please see and correct them.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript needs major revision. Author must be improve the results in manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nilüfer Topsakal
Department, University & Country	Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Turkey