

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_86138
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Effective Groundwater Management in Parts of Sokoto Basin, North-Western Nigeria
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-You have not respected author's instructions in some parts of the manuscript. For example, you need to redo the references</li> <li>- Provide information on author contributions to the manuscript.</li> <li>- Figure 4b, c and d has data that is not legible. Please expand the Figures and make the information legible.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- I'm not very convinced with this phrase. "<b>groundwater has an excellent natural microbiological quality and generally adequate chemical quality for most uses</b>". I have analysed a number of groundwater sources and some of them had a high levels of microbial contamination. Take for example that if the groundwater is found in an environment with porous aquifers, there will be serious microbial infiltration and thus the ground water will be polluted with microbes. So I prefer you use the word <b>good</b> and not <b>excellent</b>.</li> <li>- A number of corrections have been effected directly on the manuscript with track changes that can be traced.</li> <li>- A number of worries have been raised directly in the manuscript and please you should address them.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The manuscript is well written and the content easily understandable.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mofor Nelson Alakeh
Department, University & Country	The University of Bamenda, Cameroon