

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_85845
Title of the Manuscript:	RESPONSE SIMULATION OF G+16 COMMERCIAL BUILDING WITH DIFFERENT TYPES OF BRACING SYSTEMS USING ETABS
Type of the Article	Review Articles

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The topic of this paper is interesting, and the technology used in this paper is valuable. However, there are some grammar errors and typos shown in this paper. I have already revised the Abstract part of this paper (with the background color yellow). I hope the author can revise the remaining parts. I recommend the software Grammarly. It can revise some fundamental grammar errors in your paper. A good journal should be written in a very professional scientific thinking and language, so I recommend the author organize the whole context logically and revise his paper according to some reputational SCI journals (with high impact factors).	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	(1) Please add line numbers to your paper. (2) Section 1.1, please add a reference for the software ETABS. (3) Line 124, please add a reference (code) for the dead load. (4) Please describe the boundary conditions for the prototype structure. (5) Please change the "Graph" to "Figure" (6) The total floors of the prototype structure are 16. Why the stories in Graphs 1, 2, and 3 are 18.5, 17.5, and 20.5 respectively.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	N/A.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wenchen Ma
Department, University & Country	University of Nevada, USA