

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_83990
Title of the Manuscript:	The Need for Robots in Global Health
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The material presented in this paper is well known. This is a very broad topic, and in other words, it is pretty hard to make up a qualified review. To be frank, it seems that this submission could be a section of literature review for a degree thesis. The format of the paper is not acceptable (Numbering system) and the author is advised to have a look in papers published in this journal to see how a paper should be submitted. Specifically, numbering scheme is out of logic without any multi-level numbering or heading style.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The authors could include some numbers and present the existing market situation as far as the existing market situation since they do not present any results and they are restricted only at the "description level". Most of the references cited by author are report papers (out 40 more than 20). Consequently, is strongly suggested that the authors need to cite more journal papers.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sotiris Avgousti
Department, University & Country	Cyprus University of Technology, Cyprus