

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_83952
Title of the Manuscript:	Statistical Forecasting of Crime Rate in southern Region of India
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article appears to be original research. However, the relationship research has not been adequately addressed. Choosing the appropriate relationship research method is of great importance. I should also point out that the references are insufficient. I recommend the following reference as a source for the author.</p> <p>Sisman and Bektas (2011)Linear regression methods according to objective functions, Acta Montanistica Slovaca, Volume 17, No 3, Pages, 209-217</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The article appears to be original research. However, the relationship research has not been adequately addressed. Choosing the appropriate relationship research method is of great importance. I should also point out that the references are insufficient. I recommend the following reference as a source for the author.</p> <p>Sisman and Bektas (2011)Linear regression methods according to objective functions, Acta Montanistica Slovaca, Volume 17, No 3, Pages, 209-217</p>	
Optional/General comments	I declare that it would be appropriate to publish it, provided that the above minor corrections are made.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sebahattin Bektas
Department, University & Country	Ondokuz Mayıs University, Turkey