

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_83535
Title of the Manuscript:	An Economic Analysis of Tomato Cultivation under Polyhouse Condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: The abstract already contain: backgrounds, short clear objectives, final results or findings, and conclusion, but did not write short methods</p> <p>Introduction: The author should explain the main limitation of the previous researches, what do you hope to achieve (to solve the limitation), and to show the scientific merit or novelties of the paper.</p> <p>Research Methodology Write down all treatments This chapter has not presented the complete information on the materials and method, so that anyone can duplicate the experiment</p> <p>Results and Discussion: The results has compared with what other investigators have reported, but it is necessary to compare the results of the latest research.</p> <p>Conclusion: The conclusion has already followed logically from the work done. This section has stated clearly the main conclusions of the research and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance.</p> <p>References: please add more reference of the latest journal so that the total becomes 15, and all cited in document Some reference were not cited?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	See comments in the document	
Optional/General comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jhon Hardy Purba
Department, University & Country	Universitas Panji Sakti, Indonesia