

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_83069
Title of the Manuscript:	THE NEED FOR DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION IN THE EDUCATION SECTOR IN NIGERIA (A LITERATURE REVIEW)
Type of the Article	Conceptual

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	What is your research aim? I don't see the research aim explicitly mentioned in the paper. What is/are your research questions? Both these questions will define the scope of the paper. Recommendations can only be given once the research aim and questions are known. But unfortunately, this wasn't present. Perhaps the authors can write a systematic literature review that follows a certain protocol (e.g PRISMA or ROSES). In that way, it will be more beneficial to the readers.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lilian Anthonysamy
Department, University & Country	Multimedia University, Malaysia