

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_81828
Title of the Manuscript:	Determinants of COVID-19 vaccination among workers at an oil company, Pointe-Noire (Congo)
Type of the Article	Not assigned yet.

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Accepted as Short Research Article after revision . This revision should include the following : Avoid unnecessary details of results within discussion section. These results are obvious and did not need unnecessary explanations. Furthermore, since this study deals with acceptance of Covid 19 vaccine in general (not acceptance of specific type of vaccine) this should be addressed clearly , If the study deals with acceptance of locally available Covid-19 vaccines ,then this needs to be stated clearly.	
Minor REVISION comments	HIV-19 vaccines! : a word found in last paragraph within discussion p6 line 28 needs correction. It is better to add (acceptance) after Determinants of COVID-19 vaccination within the title. Request Guidance (tab 1): I think should be defined to be clear to audience or (changed) .	
Optional/General comments	Nationalities of participants can be added if possible (optional) . I have to add : It is Interested study.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tareef Fadhil Raham
Department, University & Country	Al-Alwia Pediatric Teaching Hospital, Iraq