

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_79076
Title of the Manuscript:	Selection of suitable type of nozzles for development of electrostatic induction nozzle
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract needs a mandatory revision. Please mention the objective, methodology, and outcome/s properly. It is advisable to omit the initial 2 or 3 sentences in the abstract. Also, the sentence "The objective of this study existing agricultural hydraulic nozzle spray characteristics for the development of an electrostatic induction nozzle" seems to be incomplete. 2. Certain phrase inclusion strictly not necessary in concise abstract can be omitted. 3. The author has reviewed a few pieces of existing literature. However, the research findings and gaps from them are not mentioned anywhere. It is advisable to include them in brief. 4. After the literature review, the author has directly mentioned his work. The research gap/objective/purpose of the intended work is not mentioned clearly. 5. It is advisable to maintain uniformity while assigning a number to figures. Replace the word "Plate" with "Fig." 6. Intext citation/inclusion of certain figures are missing. For example, Fig. 1 has not been included in the text anywhere. Kindly look into it and make sure to include all the tables and figures in the text. 7. The manuscript has lots of grammatical errors. In many places, prepositions are missing. It is advisable to read the manuscript thoroughly so that such errors could be rectified. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Din Bandhu
Department, University & Country	Vidya Vihar Institute of Technology, India