

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_78682
Title of the Manuscript:	Phosphate-buffered Formalin Fixative Under Controlled Fixation Temperature Yielded Excellently Preserved Histomorphology
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: It is recommended to use a single paragraph in abstract. It is not necessary indicate terms aim, methodology, results and conclusion. Change 10ml to 10 mL.</p> <p>Introduction: It is adequate.</p> <p>Materials and Methods: This part of work is adequate. Details in items location of research, materials and methods.</p> <p>Results: This part of work is adequate. In the final format, place table and subtitle in the same page (Table 5 and 7, for example). It would be interesting to have the scale reference bars in figures 1 A to I.</p> <p>Discussion: This part of work is adequate. The mechanisms present in the work are well supported by the literature adopted such references. The work presents a good scientific contribution in experimental results.</p> <p>Conclusion: This part of work is adequate.</p> <p>References: This part of work is adequate. All references are cited in the text of work and combine classics and recents references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In abstract, change 10ml to 10 mL. In relation to results, in the final format, place table and subtitle in the same page (Table 5 and 7, for example). It would be interesting to have the scale reference bars in figures 1 A to I.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>The work is interesting and presents a good scientific contribution in experimental results.</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Givanildo Alves dos Santos
Department, University & Country	Federal Institute of São Paulo, Brazil