

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_78371
Title of the Manuscript:	Geospatial technology based water management action plan for South forest division of Nilgiris, Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>-Consider removing subject subheadings in the abstract. Instead use paragraphs per subject being discussed.</p> <p>-Include the thesis of the study and main conclusions in the abstract</p> <p>-Uphold the inclusion of a map for the study area. This is very useful for readers especially international ones.</p> <p>-All figures must include sources of data and dates when information was made available.</p> <p>-Tables should either have the headings on both pages or should not spread over many pages. Due to the amount of information the first option must be considered. All tables should have sources of information used.</p> <p>-Under 4.6 and 4.7 avoid the use of bulletins but number your points for easy and effective referencing.</p> <p>-Consider separating Conclusions and Recommendations. Write as Conclusions and Recommendations. Then 1. Conclusions 2. Recommendations</p> <p>References have a good mixture of old and new publications</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Proof read the article again in order to remove any typos and grammatical mistakes	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The research findings are worth publishing	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Augrey Hicigaali Malambo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Victoria Falls University of Technology, Zambia</b>