

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_78177
Title of the Manuscript:	In silico molecular docking analysis of Imatinib to target the marker proteins of breast cancer
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In Aim Aim should be clearly stated.</p> <p>In Introduction</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is not clearly understood what the author wants to say .(1st PARAGRAPH) 2. There is repetition of the contents in the introduction same as abstract, kindly reframes the lines. 3. Do check the plagiarism of the introduction (2nd last paragraph). <p>In Result & Discussion</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rewrite discussion as result comparison to previous studies is missing for final interpretation. 2. The methods part is being repeated again in result section. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Criteria for the selection of the targets are not fully clear. Why only those targets are emphasized ?	
Optional/General comments	Overall, this is an excellent work that will provide a fresh perspective on the significance of numerous proteins markers in breast cancer. Hopefully, this discovery will lead to more research in the field of breast cancer diagnosis and treatment. Keep up the fantastic work, and I wish you the best of luck in your future endeavours.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Akansha Budakoti</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Sumandeep Vidyapeeth Deemed to be University, India</i>