

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_78060
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPMENT OF COMPUTER VISION SYSTEM FOR FRUITS
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>By attaching line numbering on the left margin reference can be made in specific line in the document, this the approach I took in reviewing this article. Abstract is shaky and does not give the detailed methods and results clearly.</p> <p>Line 12 under abstract the word 'is to be' should be replaced with 'has been', also after the word 'designed' the word 'developed or constructed or fabricated' should also be included before 'implemented(evaluated)'.</p> <p>Line 22: criteria for performance evaluation not clearly presented.</p> <p>Line 47: there should be a full stop(dot) after citation [6].</p> <p>Line 49: captures not capture, also 'and' should replace 'ana'</p> <p>Sentence of lines 49 and 50 is very poor and should be carefully read and rewritten as the case may be.</p> <p>Line 141: sentence should end with a full stop(dot).</p> <p>Line 142: the word 'things' should be replaced with 'component parts'.</p> <p>Line 214: in step 5 it should be 800 images not 100 images based on the information provided in line 195 and line 211.</p> <p>Line 231: No description of any Accuracy equation parameters and terms.</p> <p>In table 1 tomato is categorized as fruits as opposed to a vegetable.</p> <p>How the experiment was designed is conspicuously missing in the study, also how data were measured (in terms of the instruments) and analysed not stated.</p> <p>Line 270 under conclusions, the sentence is not flowing with the word 'developed' instead of 'developing'.</p> <p>I feel there should be a microcontroller sensor attached to the door for controlled opening and screening of conveyed fruits.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Inemesit E. Ekop</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Akwa Ibom State University, Nigeria</i></b>