

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_77157
Title of the Manuscript:	GEOSCIENCE ASSESSMENT OF DECLINED PRODUCTION RATE AND RECOVERY FROM A RESERVOIR IN “ANDA” FIELD, ONSHORE SOUTHWESTERN NIGER DELTA
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Location Map as shown in fig 1 must have basic details of a map i.e., coordinates, north arrow and scale etc. 2. Visibility of photomicrograph as shown in 5, 6 and 7 are too poor. None of the mineral as shown with the arrow are clearly identifiable. Moreover, photomicrographs are stretched, insert scale in photomicrograph 3. Lithological description written beneath table no. 2 are written very casually e.g. sandstone cannot be transparent to translucent or if it is about quartz fine grained to subrounded is a wrong term. Write fine grained to medium or coarse grained and subrounded to rounded etc. 4. Show modal analysis in tabular form and identify the minerals as monoclinic or polycrystalline quartz, type of feldspar i.e., Plagioclase, Orthoclase or Microcline, accessory minerals i.e. muscovite, biotite, hornblende etc. and rock fragments separately i.e., shale, schist, gneiss etc. 5. In figure number 10 provide scale. 6. Table 5 is absent. 7. All tables should be in table format not in image format 8. Must go through the suggestion mentioned as "You may use this to improve the Geology of Niger Delta" 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Well location should be marked on location map 2. Figure 1.2 as mentioned in text is absent 3. Figure number in text and actual number of fig is mismatched. 4. (Well number has been written on top and bottom for different figures, use one pattern) 5. All tables should be in same format. 6. Visibility of Figure 8 is too poor. 7. Grammatical error should be checked properly 8. Flow of writing to reach at solid conclusion may be checked 9. Reference should be in one format 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Table should be formatted for simple look and character should be aligned 2. In fig 3 as mentioned selected wells (Give the number of wells 3. Petrography is an important part to delineate the three distinct paleoenvironments. It is advised to do detail petrography <p>The author has attempted to delineate the declined rate of production of wells of Heri reservoir of Anda field. He has gone through various factors that is great. But due to the lack of flow the paper seems very hap hazardous. It is advised to make flow in the writing to put your observation strongly before the scientific community among the world.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pavanesh Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	India