

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_77107
Title of the Manuscript:	City Sanitation Analysis Based on Solid Waste Management: Case Study on Gorakhpur City, Uttar Pradesh, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Not clear and not enough information what to do. 2. Not enough detail in sampling method, timing and the quantity of sampling (method in the work done) 3. Not adequate discussion on results. 4. Not comprehensive conclusion. 5. So many typo error. 6. More detail comment and highlight sentences on the manuscript should be responded by the author(s)	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	It could be reconsidered after revision	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahmat Satoto
Department, University & Country	Indonesia