

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_76539
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATION OF MACHINE LEARNING TECHNIQUES TO ESTIMATE UNSOAKED CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO IN EKITI CENTRAL SENATORIAL DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract can be written in more elaborated way if possible to make the readers more precise to understand the concept behind it</p> <p>Keywords must contain crucial and critical technical terms used in the paper. Need to be rewritten</p> <p>It would have been better if you could have worked on comparative study of Multiple Linear Regression, ANN and soon.</p> <p>Experimental Results are not clear – Do change the Figures as they are not visible clearly</p> <p>Interpretation of results and Discussion can be extended based on the framework applied in the previous section</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Conclusion must boost up the techniques/algorithms/framework utilized in the proposed methodology</p> <p>References must be in a specific format</p> <p>Appropriate citations must be provided in the sections 1, 2 and 4</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Problem statement is well explained</p> <p>Theoretical analysis is well written and work on the format of the paper</p> <p>Good Work Done</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>K. Ravikanth</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Rajiv Gandhi University of Knowledge Technologies, India</i>