

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_75267
Title of the Manuscript:	ENHANCED IMAGE COMPRESSION AND PROCESSING SCHEME
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Provide brief information about all the sections in the introduction part. 2) Maintain the consistency during Citation (check section 2). 3) The reference number 1,2,4,5,7,8,9,11,12,14,18,19and 21 have not been cited properly. 4) Sentences are not well constructed throughout the paper (like check the section 3.2 (The following are the basic steps involved in The process) 5) Thesis with its title is been mentioned in abstract, remove it. 6) Consider rephrasing the abstract, conclusion and literature review sections (Emphasis more on the novelty of the paper). 7) Figure number, naming and positioning of figures and tables is not maintained properly. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Introduction and Results-discussion sections require some more detailing. 2) Mention the correct sample size (As mentioned in section-3, 15 images were used for experiment, but the results are displayed for 20 images) 3) Bose Chaudhuri and Hocquenghem (BCH), method needed to cited. 4) Provide numbering to each formula and justify the numbering according to journal format. 5) Punctuation and Terminologies all over the paper needs to be re-checked. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consistent detailing is required. 2. Check the spellings and sentences. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abhijit Patil
Department, University & Country	PG Center Halhali, Gulbarga university, India