

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_73131
Title of the Manuscript:	THEMATIZING MARRIAGE IN AMA ATA AIDOO'S CHANGES AND CHIMAMANDA NGOZI ADICHIE'S PURPLE HIBISCUS: THE DYNAMICS OF MODERN AFRICAN MARRIAGE RELATIONSHIP
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The issue raised by the author(s) is of great importance for Africa (and not only Africa) and post-colonial states. The role of women, their position, rights, their being inferiors in the families are problems that need to be discussed widely. The author(s) tried to reveal if not all, but many urgent problems, comparing, telling and describing different couples.	
Minor REVISION comments	While reading, the reviewer came across some typos, grammar challenges, tense confusion and sometimes – punctuation issues (e.g., the name of an author, written both Odamatten and Odamtten).	
Optional/General comments	The author should recognize the errors/mistakes and admit them. All the error corrections and review of the manuscript have been done via REVIEW MODE. Thus, the author(s) can easily see the corrections and by pressing the button ACCEPT or ACCEPT ALL get the result. However, I would like to stress that another proofreading is compulsory.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamo Chilingaryan
Department, University & Country	RUDN University, Russia