

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_89376
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical and Echocardiographic Predictor of Atrial Fibrillation in Patient with Rheumatic Mitral Valve Disease
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>I have indicated in the text where author need to Recast or Rewrite the paragraph.</p>	<p>Method and Ethical Issue</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. An inform consent of patients are require for this type of research apart from the ethical clearance issued by board. ii. Author need to show how he determine the sample size that represent a particular community.i.e statistical expression on sample size determination iii. All the bulleting in the method should be remove and use appropriate sub-heading numbers like 2.1, 2.2 e.t.c to differentiate headings and sub-headings. <p>Conclusion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The conclusion was poorly written and short; the author should rewrite conclusion <p>Discussions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. All <i>et al</i> should be italicized and inside citations should be in square bracket [] not in (). ii. The author failed to give direct comparisons of his work with other study. iii. In the discussion section; the author did not shows the differences of his findings with the previous studies iv. Author should give tabular comparisons between his work and previous one to make it easy for scientific communities. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<p>Abstract</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The author should recast abstract ii. Any abbreviation in the abstract that is the first mention should be in full and subsequent mention can be in abbreviation. <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Indentation should be block with appropriate spacing as in journal guidelines <p>References</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Boldness should be remove from author's names and transferred it to Year, Volume, Issue and page No ii. The " " should be use on the title of the paper iii. Author failed to use up to date references. iv. The numbers of references list should be in square bracket [] 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. The paragraph should be reduced to two or three 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Buhari Samaila
Department, University & Country	Federal University Birnin Kebbi, Nigeria