

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_87526
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case Report of Successful Fibrinolysis in a Multiple Coronary Ectasia ST-segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction Validated by Coronary Angiography
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The case report is of extreme interest to the scientific community. It is written in a clear and objective way, allowing an easy understanding of the above.</p> <p>In order to provide a more enriching manuscript, I suggest some changes.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Introduction: Provide epidemiological data on the clinical condition mentioned in the case report, in order to provide information such as the worldwide prevalence of the discussed disease. Furthermore, I suggest that the authors provide more information about the possible etiologies of the disease, both genetic and acquired, in addition to the aforementioned (atherosclerosis);- Discussion: Briefly discuss the protocol used for the management of the reported clinical condition, in order to inform the criteria for choosing the drug and for the procedures adopted. It is of fundamental importance to use the most current references to support the conduct and the study of the clinical condition studied, given that the studies are constantly evolving, in order to improve our knowledge about the disease. I noticed that the most current reference is from 2015.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I suggest that authors review the journal's author guidelines. Some points regarding the structuring of the manuscript need to be adjusted.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>This manuscript is very good, needs a little revision.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Matheus Ribeiro Bizuti
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Fronteira Sul, Brazil