

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_86951
Title of the Manuscript:	Speckle tracking as predictor of coronary artery disease in diabetic female with acute chest pain
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	I read with interest this original article, in which the authors evaluated the accuracy of diagnosis using speckle tracking for prediction of the existence or absence of severe CAD in diabetic female with acute chest pain by using two-dimensional echocardiography. Even though this study included a relatively small number of patients, I consider that the findings are interesting and that the results obtained can make significant contributions to further large studies. However, I recommend to emphasize much better the novelty and the original elements of the study. I consider that it is important to present the limitations of the study, to address the future scope and topics that are important and that could not be covered in the manuscript. Also, the manuscript would benefit enormously from English editing.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Larisa Anghel
Department, University & Country	Grigore T. Popa" University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania