

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_85663
Title of the Manuscript:	Prophylaxis of venous thromboembolic disease: knowledge, attitudes and practices of health care personnel in a hospital setting in Parakou in 2017
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The results presented and conclusions drawn in this manuscript seem to be very general and not complying with general standards. It may be suggested that the author rewrites the paper with a clear and more detailed information. Accepted only if significantly improved version is seen in the resubmitted manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Manuscript is not complying with general standards.2. The article is readable but there is no continuity in the sentences.3. Results drawn in this manuscript seem to be very limited and not clearly discussed.4. Tittle of the manuscript and table should be rewrite5. Write the interpretation for each table.6. Test statistic is not mention in the result.7. Very limited results and conclusion8. The references are not in the standard format.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chaithra N
Department, University & Country	JSS Academy of Higher Education & Research, India