

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_84955
Title of the Manuscript:	Rare case of cardiac hydatitosis and review of the literature
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ General and Specific objectives should be write up separately.➤ Hypothesis/ research problem should be framed➤ Study period shall be included➤ The results shall be write up clearly with sound conclusions➤ Try to include Statement of the problems	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ The abstract shall be one paragraph and make it italic➤ The discussion part should be integrated with own research results➤ Better to included recommendation in a clear manner	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ Better to revisit referencing section and grammatical issues➤ Methodology part (Materials & Methods)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yenehun Taye Engida
Department, University & Country	Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia