

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_79557
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Hematological Indices in Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. To correct the writing style to scientific writing style, needing major grammar correction and sentences restructure to be in line with the professional, scientific writing style2. The "Discussion" needs to be revised. The author should discuss and critically appraise, interpret and analyze the study's finding. The conclusion should be revised	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Need to include the method of sample size estimation	
Optional/General comments	The "Discussion" segment is crucial in defining an excellent scientific paper. I am looking forward to the revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kim Heng Sheec
Department, University & Country	Hospital Sultanah Aminah, Malaysia